Volume 3, Issue 8, 2025

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

INTERNATIONAL REGULATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HEALTHCARE: ETHICAL AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Sabahat Ali[*1], Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman[2], Dr. Aisha[3]

*[*1]Sabahat Ali, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan*
[2]Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman, Teaching Associate, Department of Sociology, University of Karachi, Pakistan; and Visiting Faculty, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan
[3]Dr. Aisha, Women Medical Officer, Civil Hospital Naushahro Feroze

[*1]sabahatali51@gmail.com, [2]tansif@live.com, [3]aishasolangi786@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20697227

Keywords
challenges, historical context, laws, opportunities, theoretical context

Article History
Received: 07 August 2025
Accepted: 19 September 2025
Published: 04 October 2025

Corresponding Author: *
Sabahat Ali

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers modern diagnostic methods, far-sighted studies, and tailored healthcare. The move to such systems brings up complex ethical and legal questions. The primary concerns stem into how to be able to defend patients with confidence, liability, and bridging gaps in healthcare equality. The research article is a critical review of international regulation of AI in healthcare, focusing on current laws, codes of ethics, policy recommendations. It will prioritize its impact on healthcare providers, technology developers, and patients, and will be required to make sure that integration with AI does not contradict any ethical considerations and legal standards. The conflict between technological innovation and human rights protection is also discussed in the paper, which provides the background to the development of policies in the future and international collaboration.

INTRODUCTION

In the medical field Artificial Intelligence (AI) has enhanced the process of medical services, leading to better diagnostics, prediction analysis, and personal approach to patients. There will be APIs like machine learning algorithms, robot surgery, and smart health monitoring that will enhance the results of treatment and reduce the cost of surgery (Pham, 2025). Nevertheless, the legal and ethical issues with the pace of AI adoption in the health care sector are also rather dangerous and must be considered (Weiner et al., 2024). The privacy of patient data, informed consent, algorithmic bias, and accountability in the case of error, fair access to AI-enabled healthcare, and more are some of the most debatable areas of AI-based healthcare to regulators, practitioners, and technology developers (Marques et al., 2024).

Although there are global standards on the use of AI in the healthcare sector, it remains challenging to strike a mutually agreeable regulation point (Zhang & Zhang, 2023). As the European Union proceeds with its AI Act and the US keeps relying on industry-specific regulations, other Asian countries are only starting to establish their regulatory systems (Papadopoulou et al., 2025). Here the results highlight the importance of a sound understanding of these systems for any AI implementation to comply with the law and ethics. Management of these issues enable the responsible application of AI throughout the medical sphere. This approach ensures both new inventions and the most important, protection of patient interests (Palaniappan et al., 2024).

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

Research Justification

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the healthcare system is on the rapid rise on a worldwide scale and, therefore, a detailed analysis of ethical and legal aspects. There is a need for a conceptualization of the concept. Even though AI has already demonstrated itself to be relevant in improving the quality of diagnosis, therapeutic outcomes and optimization of healthcare When used, a number of issues arise which can be challenging to solve. tackled by researchers. The lack of regulatory uniformity, the ethical issue and the Risk of infringing on patients' privacy and data security, and the use of biased algorithms. algorithms, makes it clear why it is necessary to thoroughly research this field. This research is justified because of the international regulations on AI in schools.

There is still a high degree of fragmentation within the healthcare system, which is often more reactive in response, and lacks clarity as to the interface between providers. One way to do so is to use AI in foreign countries. European Union, and the United Kingdom have been carried out. Regulations have been compared in the United States, the European Union, and the United Kingdom. European Union, and certain Asian countries identify best practices and gaps, and harmonized There is a need for frameworks to respond to them. This study will help advance the development of policies and make evidence-based recommendations for introduction Of AI is not contrary to human rights, patient safety, and equal access to care. In other words, the research addresses an urgent research gap, and the results of the research can be used to guide.

The development of technologies to reduce risks associated with their responsible use by both policymakers and healthcare practitioners. The creation of technologies to minimize the risks of their use responsibly by both policymakers and healthcare practitioners. The use of AI in the healthcare sector around the world.

Literature Review

The recent studies highlighted the transformative opportunity of AI to enhance the quality of diagnoses, patient management, and treatment outcomes (Guleria et al., 2024). The literature of healthcare on Artificial Intelligence indicates that most of the people are interested in technological innovation and regulatory aspects (Zhou & Gattinger, 2024). Machine learning and forecasting data have been widely used in radiology, oncology, and personalized medicine it minimizes the human error and improve the efficiency. Nevertheless, researchers continue to address ethical issues, such as patient privacy, informed consent, and the risk of algorithmic bias (Chen et al., 2023).

Legally, there is growing concern about creating clear frameworks to regulate AI in healthcare (Zhou & Gattinger, 2024). The other trend in developing AI ethics is the Artificial Intelligence Act of the European Union that classifies AI systems according to their risk and necessitates that they be transparent, answerable, and supervised by humans (Papadopoulou et al., 2025). The United States, on the other hand, does not have any general regulations but, rather, has regulations that are industry-specific which can lead to regulatory fragmentation, inhibit innovation, and poor global-level resolving of ethical issues (Farooqui et al., 2024). The Asian study, namely in China and Singapore, is proposing a new regulation environment where high esteem is given to technological adoption, and ethical regulations, yet implementation processes are not equal (Palaniappan et al., 2024). In general, there is a significant gap in the literature. Although the potential of AI in healthcare development is vast, its implementation should be accompanied by strict ethical and legal regulations (Marques et al., 2024). It is also mentioned in the review that international laws should be harmonized, and the main emphasis should be on cooperation between policymakers, technology developers, and medical workers to make the implementation of AI in medical practice safe, fair, and accountable (Weiner et al., 2024).

Historical Context of International Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

The history of AI in medicine dates back to the second half of the 20th century when the first

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

models of computation (MoC) and rule-based expert systems were introduced in medical diagnostics (Papadopoulou et al., 2025). The goal of first implementation is to automate the most basic clinical workflow and decision support to the doctors (Chen et al., 2023). More advanced AI was created in the 1980s and 1990s, like neural networks and probabilistic reasoning techniques, which has the capability of precise predictions and analyses in fields like cardiology and radiology (Zhang & Zhang, 2023).

There was an abrupt uptake in the use of AI in the 21st century with the assistance of machine learning, big data analytics, and cloud computing (Marques et al., 2024). The healthcare facilities started applying AI to create personal care plans, remote patient monitoring, and predictive analytics and, in particular, cancer treatment and chronic diseases (Guleria et al., 2024). Technological progress put ethical and legal concerns on the front burner because regulators and policymakers could not resolve patient privacy and data security and accountability in AI-driven healthcare systems (Pham, 2025). The provided historical pathology is beneficial to comprehend the ongoing debate of international regulations and ethical implications of AI in healthcare (Weiner et al., 2024).

Theoretical Context of International Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

The ethical principles, legal doctrines and risk management theories are the foundation of core theoretical framework to regulate Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare. Such Determine how to make decisions using ethical principles such as deontology and utilitarianism. Make the use of AI more patient-centered and harm-reduction oriented, and to improve Equality of access to health care. The values can be particularly utilized to AI-based bias or mistake in the algorithm used in a diagnostic and treatment system that may result in: adverse patient outcomes.

In the legal aspect, regulatory theories are accountability-based, liability-based, and within the applicable healthcare and data protection laws. A precautionary principle is often invoked to deal with the possible risks involved in adopting AI, so that Technological progress does not go beyond the safeguards of ethics and law. Moreover, the socio-technical systems theory can be used to give an understanding of the intricacies of human, technology, and organization relationships roles and collaborative in medical settings and emphasize the importance of interdisciplinary roles and collaborative in medical institutions. Cooperation in the management of AI.

The theoretical framework is used to provide context to Where the international regulatory frameworks are assessed in terms of both Ethical, legal and socio-technical orientations. It emphasizes the importance of made concerted efforts to strike a balance between innovation, patient protection and welfare. in society.

Laws Regarding the International Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

1. European Union Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act): One of the most detailed legal frameworks of Artificial Intelligence is the EU AI Act. It categorizes AI systems by risk levels and assigns them to the healthcare AI, such as diagnostic apps, for instance. Tools and clinical decision support algorithms are in the high-risk category. High-risk data in systems should be governed, transparent, accurate, and of high quality. There should be human oversight, post-marketing monitoring. The Act also clarifies liability and accountability measures, and the AI systems involved in patient care should be safe, explainable, and traceable.

2. **United States FDA Regulations and HIPAA:** The regulation of AI in the United States assumes a sectoral approach. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is regulating AI-based tools as Software as a Medical Device (SaMD), which must provide evidence of safety, clinical validation, and ongoing performance monitoring. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) is a set of strict rules on privacy and security of data to ensure the safety of patient data. Even though this framework promotes innovation, the framework has regulatory lapses

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

especially when it comes to updating the algorithms and liability in situations where AI contributes to medical errors.

3. **China's Ethical AI Governance and Data Security Law:** China is striving to regulate AI rigorously, through its Personal Data Protection Law (PIPL) and national AI ethics. This law has premises on data security, informed consent, transparency and reduction of risks. Healthcare AI systems are thoroughly approved and created in an ethically responsible manner, which is a desire to deploy technology in a calculated and responsible manner.

4. **Singapore's Model AI Governance Framework:** The Model AI Governance Framework is a globally-recognized set of guidelines that promotes transparency, accountability, explainability and control of the human-in-the-loop of AI systems, which was developed by Singapore. The framework is the most important in the Asia-Pacific and can be used as a guide in the regulation of AI technologies in the healthcare setting.

5. **Global Health Law and Cross-Border Data Regulations:** The importance of AI in healthcare depends on international data flows, which raise legal issues related to patient consent, data privacy, intellectual property rights, and cross-border data exchange. The reason is that the world does not have harmonized regulations and thus, it is difficult to adopt a shared set of standards. Cooperation on a global scale is needed to make AI use in healthcare ethical, safe, and equitable.

Challenges for International Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

Two of the primary ethical concerns are patient privacy and data security. AI systems may often be required to process extensive data concerning sensitive health information, which can be exploited by unauthorized individuals, causing breaches and misuse of data. The problems of data protection and system efficiency are ongoing. The other problem is the issue of algorithmic bias and fairness. The use of AI Predicting outcomes from incomplete or unrepresentative data in models can cause modelers to be incorrect. biased outcomes, which will only increase the health disparities between groups. Some of the steps involve curating data sets, transparency, and continuous verification of AI systems. Some ways of dealing with these biases.

Under the law, if medical mistakes happen due to AI, who is liable and who is to blame? Are not well developed in most countries. The debate on whether or not the fault would be on healthcare providers, technology It is challenging to ensure that the AI systems' actions are legally compliant and respect individual rights, especially when they are rendered by developers or regulatory bodies. autonomously.

Such operational issues as lack of harmonized principles of, applying AI and the necessity of specialized training of healthcare workers to introduce AI tools into clinical practice successfully also emerge. Low-resource environments are Additionally, low digital infrastructure and resistance to change also make adoption difficult. Last, the use of artificial intelligence in health care centers on the Implementation of cross border regulations, which is different in various countries. challenging. Differences in ethical standards, data protection laws, and compliance are legal and operational threats to multinational health care providers and technology creators. These points should be considered to ensure the AI implementation in healthcare is ethical, legally, and operationally sound to safeguard the health of patients and enhance the healthcare performance.

Opportunities for International Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

The introduction of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the healthcare setting has a great potential to improve patient care, optimize business processes and lead the way to innovation. One of the opportunities is to improve the accuracy of diagnoses. The AI-assisted systems can process various medical data such as imaging, genomics, and electronic health record to identify patterns that human clinicians may overlook and make timely and more precise diagnoses.

Other opportunities are personalized treatment

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

and precision medicine. Application of AI can adjust the treatment regimen to the particularities of a patient, disease dynamics and predictive analytics, which leads to improved treatment outcomes and reduced side effects. This feature is specifically useful in oncology, cardiology and when treating chronic diseases, where personalized therapy can play a large role in patient prognosis.

Other benefits are efficiency in operations and optimization of resources. AI will be able to automatize the administrative labor, predict the rates of patient admissions and also streamline the logistics of medical services, reduce the number of workers in the healthcare system and save spending. This efficiency assists the healthcare professionals to concentrate on critical clinical judgments and interaction with patients.

Discussion

Responsible integration of AI is a concern. There are regulatory differences across the world. The EU has been a little worried while the United States, on the other hand, has only specific regulations for certain sectors, and Asian countries are still in the process of issuing regulations. In terms of compliance with risks, the U.S. comes in the middle. These differences are just emphasizations that show the importance of considering AI as a borderless concerted action to enable the implementation of AI beyond boundaries. and protect the health of patients.

This aspect has shown that policy makers, healthcare providers and developers of the together should bring each other in a move to make sure that they integrate. of AI is successful in synthesizing literature, historical and theoretical factors. Regulation of AI with ethical and legal principles will be a way of patient safety. sustainability and acceptability of AI-led healthcare systems in the society over the long term.

Conclusion

Without doubt AI holds the potential to revolutionize the healthcare industry by making diagnosis more accurate, treatments more tailored and operations more efficient. However, it comes with several ethical, legal, and business challenges. Besides being simple to use, AI systems also need to safeguard patients' rights and ensure healthcare equality. There is a great need to standardize international regulations and emphasize the roles of applied ethics, interdisciplinary collaboration among various stakeholders - policymakers, health experts, and technology developers.

Recommendations

There is an imperative for policy makers collaborating across borders to develop frameworks addressing patient rights, liability and privacy issues in relation to data. Secondly, issues such as transparency, accountability and fairness have to be the subject of attention of AI designers and healthcare practitioners. For the purpose of providing fair treatment outcomes over a variety of patients, models should regularly be tested to identify and remove bias. Thirdly, health professionals must be well-trained and provided with the necessary tools to handle AI devices efficiently. Providing them with training to a level of competence where they can seamlessly integrate these technologies in their clinical practice should be the primary objective. The ongoing education will help to increase awareness of the potential of AI, its limitations and ethical issues. Last but not least, it is recommended to conduct periodic monitoring, evaluation and research. Discuss the implications of AI in healthcare services delivery, risks associated with and the policy implications. This will help in the implementation of safe, ethical and innovated use of AI and ultimately enhance patient care and quality of health around the world.

Research Limitations

The analysis presented in this study is fairly extensive, but there are some limitations to this study. limitations should be taken into account. Firstly, the research is founded on secondary New sources of information (published papers, policy documents that are not necessarily based on) recent tendencies in AI regulation or formulating the ethical issue. The comparative analysis is achieved at the level of some regions such as the

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

In the European Union, the United States and some Asian countries. The limitation may make it difficult to apply the findings to other jurisdictions. with different legal/healthcare systems.

Third, AI technology will move at a more rapid pace than the current regulatory structures and it is difficult to evaluate the long-term effects. Last but not least, there is no empirical research Involving healthcare providers or patients, which will give them more information about Discussions about the implementation of AI and the approaches to using it. To acknowledge these constraints, new regions where the generation of potential benefits can be found are identified. the ethical and legal regulation of AI in healthcare is lacking should be further researched.

Research Implications

This study has great research implications for policy makers, health practitioners as well as technology designing teams. Harmonized international rules are needed to create international ethical and legal Principles on AI adoption to promote cross-border collaboration and innovation. Secondly, ethical aspects are highlighted such as, In developing and implementing algorithms, patient privacy, algorithmic fairness and informed consent are also important. implementing AI systems. This will facilitate, first, responsible innovation and second, it will increase the level of trust of the society in such AI-based healthcare solutions.

REFERENCES

Chen, R. J., Wang, J., Williamson, D. F. K., Chen, T. Y., Lipkova, J., Lu, M. Y., Sahai, S., & Mahmood, F. (2023). Algorithm fairness in artificial intelligence for medicine and healthcare. *Nature Biomedical Engineering, 7*(6), 719–742. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-023-01056-8

Farooqui, M. O., Qureshi, T., Kisswani, N., & Mishra, D. K. (2024). Artificial Intelligence: Legal and ethical perspectives in the health care sector. *Science of Law, 2024*(4), 8–14. https://doi.org/10.55284/sol.v2024i4.152

Guleria, S., Guptill, J., Kumar, I., McClintic., M., & Rojas, J. C. (2024). Artificial intelligence integration in healthcare: Perspectives and trends in a survey of U.S. health system leaders. *BMC Digital Health, 2*, Article 80. https://doi.org/10.1186/s44247-024-00135-3

Marques, M., Almeida, A., & Pereira, H. (2024).The medicine revolution through artificial intelligence: Ethical challenges of machine learning algorithms in decision-making. *Cureus, 16*(9), Article e69405. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.69405

Palaniappan, K., Lin, E. Y., Vogel, S., & Lim, J. C. (2024).Gaps in the global regulatory frameworks for the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in the healthcare services sector and key recommendations. *Healthcare, 12*(17), Article 1730. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12171730

Papadopoulou, E., Themis, E., Sasa, J., Katarina, K., Joana, N., (2025). Artificial intelligence (AI) in health systems: Introducing a 'Do Good' approach. *AI and Ethics, 5,* 6339-6357. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-025-00757-x

Pham, T. (2025). Ethical and legal considerations in healthcare AI: Innovation and policy for safe and fair use. *Royal Society Open Science, 12*(5), Article 241873. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.241873

Weiner, E. B., Dankwa-Mullan, I., Nelson, W. A., & Hassanpour, S. (2024). Ethical challenges and evolving strategies in the integration of Artificial Intelligence into clinical practice. ArXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.03576

Zhang, J., & Zhang, Z. M. (2023). Ethics and governance of trustworthy medical artificial intelligence. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 23*, Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-023-02103-9

Frontier in Medical & Health Research

ISSN: (e) 3007-1607 (p) 3007-1593

Zhou, K., & Gattinger, G. (2024). The evolving regulatory paradigm of AI in MedTech: A review of perspectives and where we are today. *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, 58*, 456–464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43441-024-00628-3